



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,927	06/28/2007	Ana Maria Garcia Collazo	102901-102	5889
27267	7590	02/18/2010		
WIGGIN AND DANA LLP			EXAMINER	
ATTENTION: PATENT DOCKETING			KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR	
ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832				
NEW HAVEN, CT 06508-1832			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,927	GARCIA COLLAZO ET AL.
	Examiner SUDHAKAR KATAKAM	Art Unit 1621

All Participants:

(1) SUDHAKAR KATAKAM. (3) _____.

(2) Todd Garabedian. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 February 2010

Time: 11 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-7, 9, 10, 12-19

Prior art documents discussed:

WO 01/36365 A2 and (ii) GB 2016010 A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sudhakar Katakam/
Examiner, Art Unit 1621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The following issues were discussed:

- solvate in the claim language,
- applicants attorney agreed to cancel claims 5,6 and 13, if the case is condition of allowance,
- applicants attorney agreed to amend the claim 12,
- examiner mentioned claim 7 is subjected to 112 1st paragraph rejection, since broad claim language of method of treatment,
- applicants agreed to amend the claims, for example, first four lines of claim 1 will be replaced by: "A compound of formula (I) or it's pharmaceutically acceptable ester, amide, or salt thereof.". Same rule applies to other claims.
- applicants' attorney agreed to delete "of portions of groups" and also "being" from the claim language..